PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

om the TERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTH	IORITY
D:	PCT
WANG E-Nam 07, New Soul Bldg., 828-8 Yoksam-dong, angnam-gu 35-080 Seoul	WRITTEN OPINION
Republic of Korea	(PCT Rule 66)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 9 June 2005 (09.06.2005)
pplicant's or agent's file reference	REPLY DUE
PCT 306	within 1 months/ days from the above date of mailing
PCT/KR 2003/000665 3 April 3	onal filing date (day/month/year) 2003 (03.04.2003) Priority date (day/month/year)
nternational Patent Classification (IPC) or both nation PC^7 : C12N 15/87	onal classification and IPC
Applicant KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIE	ENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) d	drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.
This opinion contains indications relating to t Basis of the opinion	the following items:
II. Priority	
	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
IV. Lack of unity of invention	Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
V. Reasoned statement under citations and explanations	supporting such statement
VI. Certain documents cited	
VII. Certain defects in the inten	national application
VIII. Certain observations on the	e international application
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to th	nis opinion.
to grant an extension, see Rule 66	
How? By submitting a written reply, acc For the form and the language of the	companied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.
For an informal communication w	consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.461s. with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.
If no reply is filed, the international prelin	ninary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
The final date by which the international prexamination report must be established according to the stable of	reliminary ording to Rule 69.2 is: 03.08.2005 <u>.</u>
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AT Austrian Patent Office Dresdner Straße 87, A-1200 Vienna	Authorized officer MOSSER R.
Facsimile No. 1/53424/200 Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998)	Telephone No. 1/53424/437

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

Р	CT	/KR	200	3/0	വ	66	5
г	UI.	$^{\prime}$	200	3/U	υU	סט	u

[Basis of the opinion					
i. [–]	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*					
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed					
	the description:						
		pages , as originally filed					
		pages , filed with the demand					
		pages , filed with the letter of .					
	_						
	Ш	the claims:					
		pages , as originally filed					
		pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
		pages , filed with the demand					
		pages , filed with the letter of .					
		the drawings:					
		pages , as originally filed					
		pages , filed with the demand					
		pages , filed with the letter of .					
		,					
		the sequence listing part of the description:					
	_	pages , as originally filed					
		pages , filed with the demand					
		pages , filed with the letter of .					
		, med with the texter of					
2.	whic	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in ch the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:					
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ or 55.3).					
3.		th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion s drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the international application in printed form.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	u	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.					
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, Nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/fig .					
5.		This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to					

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/KR 2003/000665

٧.	Reasoned statement unde citations and explanation		i.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ing such statement	
١.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	8, 11-14	YES
		Claims	1-7, 9, 10	NO
_	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	8, 11-14	YES
		Claims	1-7, 9, 10	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14	YE
		Claims		NC

Citations and explanations

The following documents have been cited in the Search Report:

D1: US6221959B1

D2: WO2000/040742A1 D3: WO1998/059064A1 D4: WO2002/043769A2

D5: US5714166A

D1 concerns compositions for stabilizing polynucleic acids and increasing the ability of polynucleic acids to cross cell membranes and act in the interior of a cell. In one aspect, D1 provides a polynucleotide complex between a polynucleotide and certain polyether block copolymers. The polynucleotide complex can further include a polycationic polymer, as well as suitable targeting molecules and surfactants. D1 also provides a polynucleotide complex between a polynucleotide and a block copolymer comprising a polyether block and a polycation block.

These polycationic and other polymers are more or less hydrophilic and they have usually high molecular weights, e.g. from 500 to 50.000 or more. Thus, the subjectmatters of claims 1-3 are not novel. Also many water-soluble polymers, such as polyvinylpyrrolidones and polyoxazolines are mentioned in D1 (column 10, lines 65-67). Therefore, claim 4 is not new. D1 pertains rather to non-covalent polynucleotide/polymer complex (see claim 1 of D1). But also covalent binding is taken in consideration (column 1, lines 10-12). Present claim 5 concerns covalent binding. That means also claim 5 is not novel. Claim 6 also concerns covalent binding - also this claim is not new. For claim 7 is clear that typical oligos such as antisense oligonucleotides or RNA must be transferred into cells. Antisense oligos etc. are subject-matter of D1 as well. Consequently novelty is not recognized for the subject-matter of claim 7. The biodegradability of the polymers of D1 is not so clear. However, the polymers are not toxic and they will probably be degraded earlier or later. Therefore, no inventive step can be seen in the subject-matter of claim 9. The coupling compounds of claim 10 are well known. For example carbonyldiimidazole is used according to D1 which means that claim 10 is not new.

) WKITTEN OPINION

remational application No. CT/ KR 03/00665

Supplemental Box

47 . .

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Box V (page 1)

Claim 8 relates to special oncogenes which are not obvious from D1. Also KALA of claim 12 is not obvious from D1. Claim 11 and the following claims concerning complex micelles are also not obvious. It is difficult for a person skilled in the art to predict which micelle can or will be obtained with different compounds. Thus, novelty and inventive step are recognized for the subject-matters of the claims 8 and 11-14.

D2 pertains to a cellular transport system for the transfer of a nucleic acid through the nuclear envelope. The nuclear transport agent has a module which specifically binds covalently to the terminal sequence of the DNA molecule. Said module comprises a synthetic peptide, a protein, a peptide nucleic acid, or a recombinant protein that specifically binds to the DNA molecule. Such proteins and peptide nucleic acids are also hydrophilic polymers with high molecular weights. Therefore, D2 destroys novelty of claims which concern non organic-chemistry polymers (= proteins etc.). These are the claims 1-3, 5, 9, and 10. There is no doubt that a protein is biodegradable. Consequently, claim 9 is not new.

The other claims are not anticipated by D2.

D3 deals with complexes of nucleic acid and polyethyleneimine (PEI), wherein PEI is modified with a hydrophilic polymer covalently coupled thereto. Claim 1 is not anticipated or obvious from D3. Thus, also the dependent claims 2-14 are not obvious from D3.

D4 concerns stable colloid containing an aqueous phase having suspended therein DNA. This is obviously not the subject-matter of present claims.

D5 concerns star polymers. From this document the subject-matter of the claims 1-14 are not obvious either.

Industrial applicability is obvious for the subject-matters of all claims.